

The Road to Weimar

OBAMA REGIME ON KAMIKAZE COURSE OF DESTRUCTION

by Joseph DeMaio, ©2013



What is Obama's real purpose in occupying the White House?

(Oct. 23, 2013) — Now that the “shutdown” is over (for the moment), and never allowing a crisis to go to waste, the Democrats and the media regime sycophants trumpet that Republican conservatives' efforts to hold the line on spending and do anything that diverted from the Emperor at 1600's grand plans to cripple the nation were for naught. Then they have the chutzpah to bleat that the 16-day shutdown “cost” the economy [\\$24 billion](#).

NBC, citing a Standard & Poor's report, calculates the [same amount](#). That works out to a “cost” of around \$1.5 billion for each day of the shutdown.

Meanwhile, on the single day immediately after the Emperor at 1600 signed the bill ending the shutdown and raising the debt limit, thus “legally” allowing the borrowing of

additional monies, the United States went further into debt to the tune of \$328 billion, driving the new total debt to over [\\$17 trillion](#).

Thus, while according to the party line out of Imperial Central, the shutdown cost \$1.5 billion per day, on the *first and single* day after it ended, the nation went \$328 billion *farther* down the path to becoming the 21st Century's analogue to the Weimar Republic of pre-Nazi [Germany](#). Recall that one of the core "solutions" fashioned by the Weimar intelligentsia was the mass printing of bank notes out of thin air to meet the "needs" of the republic. Sound familiar?

In 1923, for example, one American dollar was worth 4,210,500,000,000 German marks. Four trillion two hundred ten billion five hundred million marks for one dollar. During the two-week period between November 1 and November 15, 1923, the price for a one-pound loaf of bread rose from 3 billion marks to 80 billion marks; a glass of beer rose from 4 billion marks to 52 billion marks; and a pound of meat (type unknown) rose from 36 billion marks to 900 billion marks. Many attribute the Weimar Republic's self-induced hyperinflation to the rise and influence of the Nazi Party and its leader, Adolph Hitler.

Returning to the present, nearly one-third of a trillion dollars is in a single day piled on to the nation's already staggering debt, and the regime and its enablers can do nothing other than rejoice at getting back to "business as usual," and along the way, cynically "thanking" those "responsible" Republicans who voted with the Democrats to continue the march to Weimar, chiding the "other" Republicans for being so churlish as to suggest that the nation was on the wrong course.

Think about it: the new debt piled upon the old debt in *one day* was nearly 220 times as great as the "loss" to the economy during each day of the "shutdown," when, by the way, over 80% of governmental operations continued uninterrupted. That debt, of course, as well as the required interest accruing on the debt, will now become the obligation of your children, their children and the children of their future generations for as far as the eye can see.

And come early next year, when the regime's kabuki theater extravaganza again opens on the Beltway, look for the same lame excuses, deflections and finger-pointing – from both sides of the aisle – until, once again, the "impasse" will be broken, "business as usual" will return..... and the children of the nation, born and yet to be born for the next couple of centuries, might well face a debt burden pushing \$20 trillion. That number, graphically expressed, is: \$20,000,000,000,000.00.

The nation is on an unsustainable road that terminates not in a mere dead end. It terminates in an abyss from which the nation, as we have known it, could never recover. The policies of the regime in charge, led by a kamikaze pilot intent on "fundamentally

changing America,” cannot end well. Rather, they can only end cataclysmically. Indeed, if one of the objectives of war is the economic crippling of the enemy in addition to killing its soldiers, how does that objective differ from the policies emanating from the regime at 1600?

Normally, when those kinds of policies are visited upon one’s enemies, it is called “war.” On the other hand, when they are visited upon the nation that one purports to lead, it has another name: treason.